

C.F. 100/1- (1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.No 3099 /2005

PETITIONER

M/s.Kanker Roadways, Through
Partner-Preetam Singh Garcha,
S/o. Late Shri Jogendar Singh
Garcha, Aged about 57 years,
R/o. Civil Lines, Raipur
(C.G.)

P.R. No. 3206/05
Presented by D.P. Shrivastava
dated 2/7/08

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Regional Transport Authority,
Chhattisgarh at Raipur.
2. Nand Kishore Jain, Bus
Operator, R/o. Near Bus
Stand, Kondagaon, District
Bastar (C.G.)



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ...wp.No. 3099/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve	20-7-2005 Shri B.K. Rawat, Counsel for the petitioner. Shri Yashwant Singh Thakur, G.A. for respondent No.1. Heard both the counsel The petitioner has preferred this writ petition being aggrieved by the orders dated 25-2-2005 and 31-3-2005 whereby the petitioner's application for grant of permanent permit from Jagdalpur to Bailadila via Geedam and Dantewada and back has been rejected on the ground of objections raised by one Rajkishore Gupta and Smt. Aruna Devi, who were holding temporary permits. The instant orders are appealable. Therefore, in the first instance the petitioner to approach the S.T.A.T. in accordance with law. As far as question of limitation is concerned, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the post of Presiding Officer in the S.T.A.T. is lying vacant, therefore, per force the petitioner had to file this writ petition. Now, the Presiding Officer has been posted in the S.T.A.T. In view of the above, the petitioner may apply for condonation of delay in filing the appeal and the same will be considered sympathetically by the Presiding Officer. The writ petition is disposed of accordingly. In view of this order, M.(W.)P. No.2590/2005 and I.A. No.6030/2005 stand disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.	Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge

(पीछे देखिये)